arXiv:1602.05303v7 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 3 Sep 2016

Healing length independent vortices in Bose-Einstein Condensate

Abhijit Pendse* and A. Bhattacharyay†

(Dated: September 6, 2016)

We consider the Gross-Pitaevskii(GP) model of a Bose-Einstein Condensate(BEC) to study a single vortex line in the presence of non-local repulsive s-wave scattering. We show that in addition to the vortex solution with core width of the order of the healing length, there exists a vortex solution whose width is a microscopic length scale of the order of s-wave scattering length and is independent of the healing length. We compare the two vortex solution and show the region where we can observe the vortex whose width is of the order of scattering length and further shrink it.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 03.75.Kk

I. INTRODUCTION

Healing length of a Bose-Einstein Condensate(BEC) is the distance from a hard-wall boundary at which a BEC heals to its ground state uniform density. There exists selection of this length scale(ξ_0) via an exact solution of the full non-linear Gross-Pitaevskii(GP) equation in one dimension(1D)[1]. Note that, a vortex neither involves a hard boundary nor is it a structure in 1D. Due to these reasons one might expect to get another length scale for the size of a vortex core apart from the healing length for the full non-linear GP equation.

The healing length of a BEC is given by $\xi_0 = \hbar/\sqrt{2mgn} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi an}$, where m is mass of the boson, $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a/m$ is the s-wave interaction strength, a is the s-wave scattering length, n is density and $\hbar$ is the Planck's constant divided by 2π. This also is the limiting length scale above which a hydrodynamic description of a quantum fluid works. The same length scale (ξ_0) is approximately considered to represent the size of the core of a quantized vortex in BEC[2]. This consideration causes certain problems in making a vortex thin enough to be amenable to quantum fluctuations. As the expression of ξ_0 indicates, to make ξ_0 small one has to either increase the s-wave scattering length or the density of the vortex. For vortex lattice melting transitions, where one requires a very small filling fraction (number of bosons per vortex to be less than 10[3]), increasing the density is absolutely impractical. On the other hand, although it is possible to increase a to a very large value by Feshbach resonance, three body and even higher order interactions would make the system unstable as one goes to an $a \sim n^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. So the only existing route to make vortices thinner is by making inter vortex repulsive interactions play a role by pressing the vortices against each other such that Lindemann criterion applies and the vortex lattice melts. In the present letter, we show that apart from the vortex core with length ξ_0 as is considered in standard literature, there exists another class of vortex solutions whose core width is a microscopic length scale of the order of s-wave scattering length a. This fact, if verified, can resolve a lot of issues related to vortex lattice melting and realizing thin vortices amenable to quantum fluctuations.

Quantized vortices are created in a trapped Bose-Einstein Condensate(BEC) by pumping angular momentum into the system [4, 5]. A lattice of vortex lines (vortex solid) in a trapped condensate is routinely realized these days [6, 7]. The next important experimental breakthrough awaited over quite some time now is the melting of this vortex lattice, where theory predicts observation of effects analogous to Quantum Hall effect (integer as well as fractional) in this atomic background. The harmonic trapping potential of the condensate induces, in such a situation, an effective magnetic field for the Hall physics to display[8–13]. For the realization of the melting transition, the Lindemann criterion [3, 14] must hold which demands such a high frequency rotation of the condensate that the harmonic trapping potential fails [15]. Various other trapping potentials are being proposed in order to keep the rotating gas trapped while the melting transition happens at high rotation [10, 16].

Not only in the context of atomic Quantum Hall effect, but a thin vortex line being intrinsically susceptible to quantum fluctuations (due to Lindemann criterion) and appearing in open as well as closed form (vortex ring) can be of interest in many ways. Fewer vortices are excited using Kibble-Zurek method and, at the classical level, the dynamics of such systems are being studied [17, 18]. If very thin vortices are created using similar methods of quench through the critical point, one might also get hold of the quantum dynamics of such extended objects.

The conventional vortex solution of core size ξ_0 is in general arrived at within the realm of a local GP equation where one takes into account contact interactions between bosons. The three body interactions are suppressed in an atomic BEC by working in the diluteness criterion $a << n^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. Even in a dilute condensate, however, if one has to probe a vortex line with a core so thin that the Lindemann criterion works, one actually probes a length scale of the order of scattering length a. In this situation the interaction cannot be treated as a contact interaction and one should take corrections for the nonlocality of interactions.

* abhijeet.pendse@students.iiserpune.ac.in
† a.bhattacharyay@iiserpune.ac.in; Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune, Maharashtra 411008, India.

In the present letter we take into account this correction on top of the local GP dynamics. In this procedure of considering corrections to the local GP dynamics we use firstly a standard correction term employed to the local GP equation considering the microscopics of the non-local nature of inter-atomic interactions[19, 20] and then propose a generalization to obtain higher order corrections using a Taylor expansion of the interaction term. For the selection of the length scale, we consider just the leading order correction to the existing theory. Probing such a smaller length scale we show that in addition to the standard vortex with width of the order of healing length, there exists another vortex solution with width of the order of the s-wave scattering length. We further compare the energy of these two vortices with different core sizes and discuss how to experimentally realize a vortex with the width of the order of the scattering length. This might help realize thinner vortices while still staying in the diluteness limit.

In our previous work, one of us has shown an exact correspondence between the Taylor expanded non-local interaction terms in the dynamics and in the free energy of a GP model [21] which would be used in what follows to construct a variational solution for the vortices.

II. STANDARD THEORY

Let us look at how in the standard way [1] one gets a vortex solution. In the first subsection we look at the solution for the vortex core obtained from GP dynamics and show how the GP dynamics doesn't give us a scale selection. In the second subsection, we take a popular ansatz[2] for the approximate solution of the vortex core by keeping a free parameter in the ansatz. We then plug this ansatz into the local GP grand canonical energy functional and find its minimum with respect to the free parameter. It turns out from the minimization that the energy functional gives us a scale selection which is the healing length and hence the size of the vortex core is considered of the order of healing length[1, 2]. However, the length scale selection is applicable only when $R >> \xi_0$. This crucial condition shall be utilized for showing a comparison between the two classes of vortex solutions.

A. Solution from GP dynamics

The local Gross-Pitaevskii(GP) equation is given by

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})}{\partial t} = \Big(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}) + g\,|\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})|^{\mathbf{2}}\Big)\psi_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}), \tag{1}$$

where $\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})$ is the BEC order parameter. The particles of BEC interact here via contact interaction($\delta-$function). $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})$ is the external potential applied to the BEC, which is in general the harmonic trapping potential for the vortex lattice mentioned in the introduction. $|\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})|^2$ is the density n of the BEC. Taking the ansatz $\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}) = \psi_0(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$ in the presence of a time-independent external potential, μ being the chemical potential, one gets the time independent form of Eq.(1) as

$$\Big(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu + \mathbf{g}\,|\psi_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r})|^{\mathbf{2}}\Big)\psi_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}. \tag{2}$$

A vortex solution corresponds to the form $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}) = e^{is\phi}|\psi_0(\mathbf{r})|$. This particular wave-function (order parameter) represents a rotation around the axis of symmetry (say z-axis) with a tangential velocity $v_s = \hbar s/mr$, where over a closed contour around z-axis $\oint \vec{v_s}.\vec{dl} = 2\pi\hbar s/m$. Here s is the number of quantum of angular momentum (h) the vortex carries. For practical purposes mentioned in the introduction, $s = 1$ state is important because it is the most stable one and higher order vortices quickly break into the $s = 1$ state.

The radial part of the vortex state is found out from Eq.(2) by taking an ansatz $|\psi_0| = \sqrt{n}f(\eta)$, where $\eta = r/\xi_0$. Thus the equation for $f(\eta)$ is

$$\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d}{d\eta}\big(\eta\frac{d}{d\eta}f\big) + \big(1 - \frac{s^2}{\eta^2}\big)f - f^3 = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $V_{ext} = 0$ has been set without loss of generality.

Far away from the core of the vortex as $\eta \to \infty$ a solution constant over space should exist and one gets non-trivial solution $f = 1$ by equating $(f - f^3)$ to zero. At a small η, one takes $f \sim \eta^{|s|}$, where s is an integer. Then, Eq.(3) reduces to

$$|s|^2\eta^{|s|-2} - s^2\eta^{|s|-2} + \eta^{|s|} - \eta^{3|s|} = 0.$$

A balance of the dominant terms at small η , i.e. η^{s-2} shows that $f = \eta^{|s|}$ is a solution and this solution holds for all s at the leading order. For a vortex with unit angular momentum $s = 1$, the cancellation happens between the terms $\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d}{d\eta}f$ and $\frac{1}{\eta^2}f$ which is somewhat a special case giving the same solution.

Note here, since the vortex core solution of any order comes from the leading order terms resulting from the Laplacian, the choice of the scale ξ_0 is completely arbitrary. The scale does not get determined in the computation.

To actually determine this scale, one has to look at the balance of the terms at next to the leading order. This is not possible if one does not take into account non-local interactions.

B. Scale selection using energy functional

Let us now check whether we get a scale selection by extremizing the grand canonical free energy functional of the local GP equation. We take an ansatz[2] for the vortex solution to be of the form $\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{n}\,|f(r)|e^{i|s|\phi}e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$ where s is an integer. Let us now consider the case $|s|=1$ and take $|f(r)| = r/\sqrt{\beta^2+r^2}$ as an ansatz. Since, this is a procedure employing energy variations, the term containing any constant phase factor of $f(r)$ is of no use. We plug this ansatz in the grand canonical energy functional of the local GP equation and try to minimize the energy with respect to β to see if we can thus get a scale selection. The grand canonical energy functional of the local GP equation is given by[1]

$$E = \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^R \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 + \frac{g}{2}(|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|-n)^2\Big] r\,dr\,d\phi\,dz. \tag{4}$$

We plug in the aforementioned ansatz for $\psi(\mathbf{r},t)$ in the above energy functional and extremize it by setting $(\partial E/\partial\beta) = 0$. This gives the following equation for $\widetilde{\beta}(\widetilde{\beta} = \beta/R$, where R is the radial size of the condensate),

$$\widetilde{\beta}^4(6\xi_0^2 - R^2) + \widetilde{\beta}^2(4\xi_0^2 - R^2) + 2\xi_0^2 = 0,$$

where $\xi_0 = \hbar/\sqrt{2mgn}$. We can find the roots of the above equation in $\widetilde{\beta}$ using the quadratic formula, which gives

$$\widetilde{\beta}^2 = \frac{(R^2 - 4\xi_0^2) \pm \sqrt{R^4 - 32\xi_0^4}}{2(6\xi_0^2 - R^2)}.$$

For the above equation to have roots, $R^4 \geq 32\xi_0^4$. This condition is tailored in a BEC while exciting multiple vortices[4, 5]. In this $R >> \xi_0$ limit, we see that taking the + sign makes the numerator $\sim 2R^2$. However, we see that there is another factor $[6\xi_0^2 - R^2]$ in the denominator, which becomes negative for $R >> \xi_0$, in turn making $\widetilde{\beta}^2$ negative. If we take the − sign from the ±, the numerator of the above equation becomes $\sim (-\xi_0^2)$, giving $\widetilde{\beta}^2 \sim (\xi_0^2/R^2)$ for $R >> \xi_0$. This gives us a scale selection of $\beta \sim \xi_0$. This is the vortex with core size of the order of healing length.

The point to be noted here is that for $R^4 - 32\xi_0^4 < 0$, the solution breaks down because $\widetilde{\beta}$ becomes complex. Now, R being a free parameter of a single vortex, it can be increased up to the system size, but in a vortex lattice, R is restricted and in what follows we are going to look at this limit after introducing the other class of solution.

C. Existing non-local model

Let us revisit the above procedure taking into consideration non-local interactions. We do that to see if there exists a vortex solution of core size ξ_0 when non-local interactions are present, despite having $R^2 < \sqrt{32}\xi_0^2$. Contemporary modifications to the local GP equation(Eq.(1))[19, 20, 22] involve adding a first order correction term, considering some microscopic potential underlying s-wave interactions. Using, for example, the notation used by Collin *et al.*, we get the following non-local GP equation[20]

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})}{\partial t} = \Big[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + g\left(|\psi_0(\mathbf{r,t})|^2 + g_2\nabla^2|\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})|^{\mathbf{2}}\right)\Big]\psi_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}), \tag{5}$$

where $g_2 = \left(\frac{a^2}{3} - \frac{ar_e}{2}\right)$ and r_e is the effective range of interaction. Let us take Eq.(5) in the absence of an external potential and determine the nature of vortex solution.

The energy functional for the non-local GP equation given by Eq.(5) is[21]

$$E = \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^R \Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2 + \frac{g}{2}(|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|-n)^2 + \frac{gg_2}{2}|\psi(\mathbf{r},t)|^2\nabla^2|\psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})|^{\mathbf{2}}\Big]\mathbf{r}\,\mathbf{dr}\,\mathbf{d}\phi\,\mathbf{dz}. \tag{6}$$

We plug in the same ansatz, $|f(r)| = r/\sqrt{\beta^2+r^2}$ as in the previous section and check for energy functional minimization. Note that, since we do not want three body effects to dominate, it is desirable to work in the diluteness limit $a^3n << 1$ and hence $g_2 << \xi_0^2$, since $g_2 \sim a^2$. The minimization condition yields

$$\widetilde{\beta}^6(6\xi_0^2 - R^2) + \widetilde{\beta}^4(10\xi_0^2 - 2R^2 + 4g_2) \quad \widetilde{\beta}^2(6\xi_0^2 - R^2 - 4g_2) + 2\xi_0^2 = 0. \tag{7}$$

The above condition is a cubic equation in $\widetilde{\beta}^2$. The coefficients tell that the length scale given by g_2 would

be masked by that given by ξ_0, unless $g_2 \geq \xi_0^2$. However, this would take us away from the diluteness limit and into the region where three body effects would become important. Hence while we stay in the diluteness limit, the length scale given by ξ_0 would always dominate. Now, in the previous section, we have seen that for $R^2 < 4\sqrt{2}\xi_0^2$, the solution breaks down. For the same condition over most of the parameter space, one can see that all the coefficients of Eq.(7) are positive which denies any acceptable solution for $\widetilde{\beta}^2$. Therefore, even the presence of non-local interaction does not help getting such a vortex solution for $R^2 < 4\sqrt{2}\xi_0^2$.

III. SCALE SELECTION FROM NON-LOCAL MODEL

Let us now, in the presence of non-locality, put the linear approximation for f near the vortex core and see whether we get a selection for the length scale.

Considering $\mu = gn$ and $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{n} f e^{is\phi}$, we take the standard ansatz $f = R^{|s|}$ near the origin, where $R = \beta r$. Using this ansatz, Eq.(5) near the origin is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta^2|s|^2R^{|s|-2} - \beta^2|s|^2R^{|s|-2} - 8\pi anR^{3|s|}\\&+ 8\pi anR^{|s|}\Big[1 - g_2\beta^2(2|s|)^2R^{2|s|-2}\Big] = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Note that irrespective of the choice of β and $|s|$, the balance between the leading order terms, i.e. the first two terms of the above equation is always there. On top of that, due to the non-local correction term introduced Eq.(5), there now exists a special case of $|s| = 1$ where there is a balance between the terms in brackets in Eq.(8). This now imposes a selection of β, given by $\beta = 1/(2\sqrt{g_2})$ which presents only for $|s| = 1$ and not for higher quantum of circulation. This selection exists only when gg_2 is positive or, having g positive when g_2 is also positive, i.e. $r_e < 2a/3$. This in general would be a situation when repulsive s-wave scattering is the dominant interaction mechanism in BEC, which is well known to be the case for all metastable BEC. Since, in this regime, $g_2 \sim a^2$, hence, we get from the above β selection that $\beta \sim 1/a$. The next obvious line of inquiry would be generalizing this approach to include all vortices.

IV. GENERALIZATION

The local GP equation follows from its full non-local form

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})}{\partial t} &= \Big(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})\Big)\psi_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})\\&+ \psi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})\int \mathbf{dr}^{'}\psi_{\mathbf{0}}^*(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{t})\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r})\psi_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{t}).\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let us consider the interaction to be repulsive s-wave scattering, which is the most dominant interaction in a BEC.

Under the first Born approximation, s-wave scattering can be captured by using an effective repulsive soft-potential[1, 23]. The range of this effective repulsive potential is in general of the order of the scattering length a(which is not in general true for attractive potentials) (Chap.7, page 427 of [23]). We use this approach in what follows, because the system we deal with is necessarily undergoing lowest energy repulsive s-wave scattering. We Taylor expand the wave-function to explore the structure of ψ and the corresponding density n. The effective potential simply sets the length scale over which the density variation is now being probed.

Keeping the above mentioned arguments in mind, we replace $V(\mathbf{r}^{'}-\mathbf{r})$ by a $V_{eff} = [g/(\sqrt{2\pi}a)^3] \times exp[-\frac{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{'}|^2}{2a^2}]$. BEC being a macroscopic population in the zero momentum ground state, the scattering between particles is the lowest energy one i.e. s-wave scattering. Since V_{eff} is repulsive, following the arguments given above, the range of the V_{eff} would be of the order of s-wave scattering length and hence we set the range of V_{eff} as a. Doing a Taylor expansion of the wave-function $\psi(\mathbf{r}^{'},\mathbf{t})$ about $\mathbf{r}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\Big(r\frac{d}{dr}|\psi_0|\Big) + \frac{\hbar^2s^2}{2mr^2}|\psi_0| + g|\psi_0|^2\psi_0 - \mu|\psi_0|\\&+ g|\psi_0|\Big[\frac{a^2}{2}\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\Big(r\frac{d}{dr}|\psi_0|^2\Big) + \frac{a^4}{8}\Big(\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\big(r\frac{d}{dr}\big)\Big)^2|\psi_0|^2\\&+ ..... + \frac{a^{2l}}{(2l)!!}\Big(\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\big(r\frac{d}{dr}\big)\Big)^{2l}|\psi_0|^2 + ...\Big] = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Considering $\mu = gn$, $\psi_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{n}f$, and $f = R^{|s|}$ near the origin, where $R = \beta r$, the leading order terms vanish as shown earlier at $r \to 0$. Now for a vortex with $|s|$ quanta of circulation, the series in square brackets in Eq.(10) terminates at $2|s|$-th order in derivatives as all other higher order derivatives would be zero. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta^2|s|^2R^{|s|-2} - \beta^2|s|^2R^{|s|-2} - 8\pi anR^{3|s|} + 8\pi anR^{|s|}\Big[1\\&- \frac{a^2\beta^2}{2}(2|s|)^2R^{2|s|-2} - \frac{a^4\beta^4}{8}(2|s|)^2(2|s|-2)^2R^{2|s|-4}\\&- .... - \frac{a^{2|s|}\beta^{2|s|}}{(2|s|)!!}((2|s|)!!)^2\Big] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The first 3 terms correspond to local GP equation and at the leading order $R^{|s|-2}$ we get the same result as is already shown. The next higher order terms are the first and the last terms in the square bracket which are in balance when $\beta = 1/a[(2|s|)!!]^{\frac{1}{2|s|}}$ giving us a selection on β. Note that, the first term in the square bracket comes from the linear term $\mu|\psi_0|$ in Eq.(10). Had we considered any other flat symmetric repulsive potential in the place of the Gaussian one, the Taylor expansion would only change in coefficients involving $|s|$. Thus, the scaling $\beta \sim 1/a$ would always be there.



FIG. 1. Figure shows the density profile of single vortex line for different circulations s for the generalized model and also for the microscopic interaction model by Collin *et al.* labelled by s_P for $|s| = 1$ with $r_e = a/2$.

Having constructed the core with a definite selection of the length scale, we can construct a full variational solution for a vortex with $|s|$ quantum of circulation. To compute the free energy in what follows, we take the energy integral over R varying from 0 to D, where $r = D/\beta$ is the radial system size. To obtain the solution, we divide the region $(0, D)$ in two parts. These regions are $(0, \alpha_{|s|})$ and $(\alpha_{|s|}, D)$. In the region $(0, \alpha_{|s|})$ we consider the vortex solution as $R^{|s|}$(as was shown above in the analysis to obtain β) and in the region $(\alpha_{|s|}, D)$, we take the ansatz $(1-\lambda_{|s|}e^{-\delta_{|s|}R})$. The choice of this latter part of the solution is particularly good because it rises to unity at large R and it involves two free parameters which we can adjust to get a continuous solution. By matching these two functions and their derivatives at $R = \alpha_{|s|}$ we obtain $\lambda_{|s|} = (1-(\alpha_{|s|})^{|s|}) \cdot exp[|s|(\alpha_{|s|})^{|s|}/(1-(\alpha_{|s|})^{|s|})]$, $\delta_{|s|} = |s|/\left[(\alpha_{|s|})^{(1-|s|)} - \alpha_{|s|}\right]$ as a function of $\alpha_{|s|}$. Then, we plug in the complete solution (from 0 to D) in the energy functional for Eq.(10) [21] to minimize the energy and thereby fix $\alpha_{|s|}$.

The free energy functional corresponding to the truncation of the Taylor expansion at the $2|s|$-th term and corresponding to the above mentioned solution is [21]

$$E_v = \int_0^D \frac{2\pi R dR}{\beta^2}\Big[\frac{\hbar^2\beta^2}{2m}n\Big(\frac{df}{dR}\Big)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2\beta^2}{2m}n\frac{f^2}{R^2} + \frac{gn^2}{2}(1-f^2)^2 + \frac{gn^2f^2}{2}\Big\{\frac{\beta^2a^2}{2}\frac{1}{R}\frac{d}{dR}\Big(R\frac{d}{dR}f^2\Big) + \frac{\beta^4a^4}{8}\Big(\frac{1}{R}\frac{d}{dR}\Big(R\frac{d}{dR}\Big)\Big)^2 f^2 + ... + \frac{\beta^{2|s|}a^{2|s|}}{(2|s|)!!}\Big(\frac{1}{R}\frac{d}{dR}\Big(R\frac{d}{dR}\Big)\Big)^{2|s|} f^2\Big\}\Big]. \tag{11}$$

We use the above mentioned prescription and evaluate the energy minimum in terms of $\alpha_{|s|}$. As we assume the diluteness limit $a^3n << 1$, we can take $an << \beta^2$ for any $|s|$ since $\beta \sim 1/a$. Making use of this inequality and keeping terms which go as β^2 over terms which go as (an) we get an expression for free energy as

$$E = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 n}{m}\Big[\frac{|s|^2}{2} ln\Big(\frac{D}{\alpha_{|s|}}\Big) + \Big(\frac{\lambda_{|s|}^2}{8}\Big)(1+2\alpha_{|s|}\delta_{|s|})e^{-2\alpha_{|s|}\delta_{|s|}} + \frac{|s|\alpha_{|s|}^{2|s|}}{2}\Big].$$

The minimization of the above energy functional gives $\alpha_{|s|} = [(1-|s|+\sqrt{49|s|^2-10|s|+1})/(12|s|-2)]^{\frac{1}{|s|}}$. Using this $\alpha_{|s|}$, we can now evaluate $\lambda_{|s|}$ and $\delta_{|s|}$ for a given value of $|s|$, thus determining f for the interval $(\alpha_{|s|}, D)$.

We plot f for $|s| = 1, 2, 3$ in Fig.(1) for the generalized model and for model by Collin *et al.* [20] with $|s| = 1$. As discussed above, for repulsive s-wave scattering to be the dominant interaction, $r_e < 2a/3$. As a representative of this condition, we have taken $r_e = a/2$ to plot the density profile of a vortex obtained by us using the model of Collin *et al.* The figure shows that the width of the vortices is microscopic-of the order of s-wave scattering length and less which is the main result of this letter. For the details of the above calculation, refer to the supplementary material accompanying this article[24].

V. COMPARISON OF VORTEX ENERGY

Let us now compare the grand canonical energy of the two vortices, one with core size of the healing length and other with core size of the order of s-wave scattering length which has been derived by us above. To the leading order, the energies of the vortex with core of size of healing length(E_{ξ_0}) and that with core size of scattering length(E_a) are given by $E_{\xi_0} \sim (\frac{\pi\hbar^2 Ln}{m}) ln\Big(\frac{|s|R}{\xi_0}\Big)$ and $E_a \sim (\frac{\pi\hbar^2 Ln}{m}) ln\Big(\frac{R}{\alpha_{|s|}a}\Big)$ respectively, where $\alpha_{|s|}$ is an order unity number. From these terms, we can see that if the healing length and the s-wave scattering length are of equal order, the two classes of vortices actually become comparable in energy. However, as we change the scattering length and make it smaller by keeping the density fixed, we increase the healing length. In this case, the vortex with the length scale corresponding to the healing length would manifest itself, since it is a state of lower energy.

However, as mentioned while deriving the healing length as the length scale of the vortex, that for the vortex solution with length scale as healing length to exist, $R^4 \geq 32\xi_0^4$. In the case $R^4 < 32\xi_0^4$, the vortex with the core size of the order of healing length would cease to exist as that length scale selection is no longer present. Hence, the vortex solution existing in this regime could be a vortex with core size of the order of the s-wave scattering length.

The important question that naturally arises at this stage is - why has it not been seen to be the case in so far existing experiments? To answer this, we have to look at the existing experiments to study vortices in a BEC. Since the local theory predicts that the core size of the vortex is of the order of the healing length, the experimental parameters are set such that the $R >> \xi_0$ so that we can obtain multiple vortices. However, in the region $R < \xi_0$ the vortex solution with core size ξ_0 breaks down and hence those vortices with core size of the order of s-wave scattering length might show up. This can be done by setting a fixed R and then reducing the scattering length by using Feshbach resonance. If one excites vortices in a harmonic trap and decreases the scattering length, so that we enter the $R < \xi_0$ regime, some of the vortices may go to the vortex solutions with core size of the order of a. This can help in achieving thinner vortices which can undergo quantum fluctuations. Alternatively, one can also decrease the density n to increase the healing length to enter the $R < \xi_0$ regime to get vortices with core size of the order of a. Decreasing the density has an added advantage that while obtaining thinner vortices, one simultaneously decreases the filling fraction. Such vortices may help us enter the region of filling fraction < 10, which has been predicted as the region to observe analogous quantum hall effect in BEC[3].

Imagine that a vortex lattice has already been formed in an experimental setup by rotating the condensate.Now without rotating the condensate faster, if one employs Feshbach resonance at this state to reduce a and by that increase ξ_0 such that $R < \xi_0$, there is a possibility that the other vortex solution would pick up the quantized angular momenta already present. In such a situation, even if some of the vortices get transferred to the thinner class, the lattice can melt.

VI. DISCUSSION

In the present letter, we have shown that in addition to a vortex with core size ξ_0, there exist a class of vortex solutions with core size of the order of the s-wave scattering length. The radial system size can dictate the manifestation of either of the two class of solutions.

The scale selection for the vortex core to be of the order of the healing length comes from the energy minimization with respect to a free parameter representing the initial slope of the vortex solution for both the local as well as non-local GP energy functional. We have shown that this scale selection is only valid approximately for $R \geq \xi_0$.

But, the non-local GP dynamics gives us a scale selection for another class of vortex, which is not affected by the system size, as opposed to the vortex with core size ξ_0. The scale obtained from the non-local GP dynamics is of the order of s-wave scattering length, which represents a new class of vortex solutions. We have presented a variational solution using non-local GP dynamics for a vortex solution with an arbitrary quantum of circulation with core size of the order of a. Thus, in the broad region where $a << R < \xi_0$, vortices with core size of the order of a may be observed.

It is not difficult to understand from the above mentioned procedure that the class of solutions with core size of the order of a can readily be generalized to the case having a harmonic trapping potential. Obviously the qualitative result identifying the size of the core scaling as a length of the order of microscopic length scale would remain intact because it comes from the inter-particle interactions. The variational scheme shown for the full continuous profile of the solution should also remain locally intact when the size of the vortex is much smaller than the size of the condensate trapped. There could be some boundary effects and other details which we would focus on in future.

Corrections coming into the local theory from the non-local interactions via a Taylor expansion not only proves useful, but, do also have natural truncation. Note that the results involving higher order vortices resulting from the higher order terms of the expansion although are coming out through a general procedure, but, may break down because of involvement of higher derivative i.e. smaller length scales. However, the first correction term would always be there since a Laplacian is already present in the model. So, the result involving the lowest order vortex which is most stable is always there. Hence, along with the vortex solution with core size determined by the healing length exists a vortex solution whose core size is determined by the microscopic length scale of the bosons of the condensate, but with a higher grand canonical energy.

The consequences of the present result can actually be extremely important in creating thinner vortices particularly when the existing wisdom indicates just the opposite, i.e. to make a vortex thinner, one has to make ξ_0 small either by increasing a or n. Our result shows that there exits a class of vortex solutions with width independent of n and proportional to a to obtain which, one has to decrease a or n and make ξ_0 large for example after a vortex lattice is formed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Abhijit Pendse would like to acknowledge the support provided by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research(CSIR), India. AP and AB would like to acknowledge very useful suggestions by an anonymous researcher which has helped to put this work in proper context.

[1] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford Science Publications, 2003)
[2] C. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, 2001)
[3] N. R. Cooper, N. K. Wilkin, and J. Gunn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 120405 (2001)
[4] J. Abo-Shaeer, C. Raman, J. Vogels, and W. Ketterle, Science **292**, 476 (2001)
[5] K. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 806 (2000)
[6] A. L. Fetter, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 647 (2009)
[7] C. Raman, J. Abo-Shaeer, J. Vogels, K. Xu, and W. Ketterle, Physical review letters **87**, 210402 (2001)
[8] S. Viefers, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **20**, 123202 (2008)
[9] N. Regnault and T. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 030402 (2003)
[10] M. Roncaglia, M. Rizzi, and J. Dalibard, Scientific reports **1** (2011)
[11] P. Nikolić, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 023623 (2014)
[12] N. Rougerie, S. Serfaty, and J. Yngvason, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 023618 (2013)
[13] S. Furukawa and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 031604 (Sep 2012)
[14] A. Rozhkov and D. Stroud, Physical Review B **54**, R12697 (1996)
[15] V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, V. P. Mogendorff, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 040404 (Jan 2004)
[16] S. Kang, J. Choi, S. Seo, W. Kwon, and Y. Shin, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 013603 (2015)
[17] G. Lamporesi, S. Donadello, S. Serafini, F. Dalfovo, and G. Ferrari, Nature Physics **9**, 656 (2013)
[18] S. Donadello, S. Serafini, M. Tylutki, L. P. Pitaevskii, F. Dalfovo, G. Lamporesi, and G. Ferrari, Physical review letters **113**, 065302 (2014)
[19] H. Fu, Y. Wang, and B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 053612 (2003)
[20] A. Collin, P. Massignan, and C. Pethick, Physical Review A **75**, 013615 (2007)
[21] A. Pendse, arXiv:1512.00152(2015)
[22] H. Veksler, S. Fishman, and W. Ketterle, Physical Review A **90**, 023620 (2014)
[23] J. J. Sakurai and J. Napolitano, *Modern quantum mechanics* (Addison-Wesley, 2011)
[24] "See supplemental material named 'supplementary.pdf',"